

Choosing a JavaScript library

Intro

We need a library to provide common, reusable functionality and save us from writing lots of repetitive code. Libraries also provide a layer of abstraction, thus hiding browser differences.

We do not want to write one ourselves because it saves time to use an existing one, plus these libraries are more likely to contain fewer bugs because of the significant user base.

Because of the multitude of Javascript libraries, we started our search by selecting only the most-used, most-popular and up-to-date Ajax-enabled libraries.

The contestants

	Latest (non-beta) release	Size	the good	the bad	Licence	Browser compatibility
Dojo ¹	1.0.2 (12/15/07)	50kb+	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• OOP• CSS3• buildsystem with compression• unittest• widgets (Dijit)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• buggy• ugly code• big	modified BSD or Academic Free 2.1	Safari 3.0.x Opera 9.0+ IE 6.0+ FF1.5+ Konqueror 3.5+
Prototype ²	1.6.0.2 (01/25/08)	~120kb	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• support• Script.aculo.us³ for UI• OOP	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• poor documentation• extends Object.prototype (*⁴, *⁵) not since 1.4• extends js built-in objects	MIT	IE 6.0+ FF1.0+/ Mozilla 1.7+ Safari 1.2+
jQuery ⁶	1.2.2 (01/14/08)	~26kb	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• documentation only• easy to use• fast• CSS 1-3, basic xpath• CSS selector	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• selector +effects +some-XHR• only for simple and small projects	MIT or GPL	FF1.5+ IE 6+ Safari 2.0.2+ Opera 9+

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • lightweight • chainable • plugins • namespaced • jQuery UI for widgets • cross site Ajax • compatible with others (through jQuery.noConflict()) 			
Ext JS ⁷	2.0.1 (01/23/08)	500kb	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • support • good collection of widgets • UI-centric (+ or -) • on top of jquery/yui/prototype or standalone • fast 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • big⁸ 	LGPL 3.0	IE 6+ FF1.5+ Safari 2+ Opera 9+
Yahoo UI Library ⁹	2.4.1 (12/19/07)	29kb+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • history manager • documentation • modular (fetch what you need) • event driven • namespaced • CSS framework • Skins • YUILoader¹⁰ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • slow • big • complex • verbose 	BSD	IE 6+ FF1.5+ Safari 2+ Opera 9+

MooTools ¹¹	1.1 (05/07/07)	9kb+	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • lightweight • fast • easy • modular • Object Oriented • chainable • dynamic loading for images, css and javascript files 	• extends many of js built-in objects	MIT	IE 6+ FF Safari Opera Camino
qooxdoo ¹²	0.7.3 (01/14/08)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • buildsystem for optimazing and packaging • namespaced • event binding • cross-browser back button support • bookmarkability • AOP • IFrame IO¹³ 	• non-CSS-based styling	LGPL or EPL	IE 5.5+ FF 1.0+/ Mozilla 1.3+ Opera 8+ Safari 3
GWT ¹⁴	1.4.61 (11/03/07)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • browser history • JUnit • i18n • Java compiled to javascript 		Apache 2.0	IE Firefox Mozilla Safari Opera

The pros and cons are (possibly biased) opinions collected from blogs and fora, or features promoted on the homepages.

Disqualified:


- [MochiKit](#)¹⁵: seems to be deserted

- Rico¹⁶: dying community, low support

The requirements

1. base for client-side restletport
2. cross-browser issues:
 - syntax
 - DOM model
 - event model
3. dynamic loading of js-files
4. event binding
5. unit testing
6. (widgets, effects)
7. integration of external widgets (Simile)
8. size, stability, maturity
9. compression
10. i18n, L10n

Popularity (by number of searches)



The other frameworks (qooxdoo, Rico, Ext js) didn't have enough search volume to show up on the graph. The seeming extreme popularity of Prototype is caused by the added searches for the prototype object of JavaScript.

Language

	Custom event-binding	OO	namespaced API	Namespacing
Prototype	✓ 17	✓ 18	✗	✓ 19
Dojo	✓ 20	✓ 21	✓	✓ 22

jQuery		23				24
MooTools		25		26		
ExtJS		27				28
qooxdoo		29		30		31
YUI		32		33		34

There are arguments why a js framework should not try to be an OO programming language (see [*35](#), [*36](#) and [*37](#)).

XmlHttpRequest

All libraries contain specialized methods for Ajax calls, with support for GET and POST requests, parameters and callback functions. IFrame I/O is mostly used for file upload.

XHR API	custom HTTP request headers	Callback	Error handling	Cross-site scripting	IFrame IO	Synchronous
Prototype ³⁸						
Dojo ³⁹ *40						41
jQuery ⁴²						
MooTools ⁴³						
ExtJS ⁴⁴					45	46
qooxdoo ⁴⁷						48
YUI ⁴⁹				50		51

CSS Framework integration

- [jQuery + Blueprint](#)⁵²

GWT wrappers

GWT + ExtJS: [gwt-ext](#)⁵³, [MyGWT](#)⁵⁴

35. <http://mattsnyder.com/javascript/prototype-vs-yui-round-1-oop-architecture/>

36. <http://www.geoffreymoller.com/2007/05/15/when-javascript-libraries-attack/>

37. <http://foohack.com/2007/08/yui-crockford-module-pattern-vs-prototypes-class-function/>

53. <http://code.google.com/p/gwt-ext/>

GWT + Dojo: [Tatami](#)⁵⁵

GWT + Script.aculo.us: [Script.aculo.us integration](#)⁵⁶

Java + qooxdoo: [QWT](#)⁵⁷

[JavaScript Native Interface \(JSNI\)](#)⁵⁸

Test 1: GWT Integration

- jQuery: [Google Maps the jQuery Way](#)⁵⁹
- YUI: [Google Maps + Yahoo UI Lib \(YUI\) = Mashup fun](#)⁶⁰
- qooxdoo: [Google Maps widget](#)⁶¹
- ExtJS: [Adding a Google Map to a Tab or Window](#)⁶²
- MooTools: [GMapsOverlay](#)⁶³, [google-maps-lightbox](#)⁶⁴
- Prototype: [gplotter](#)⁶⁵

Test 2: Simile

- Dojo: [Dojo and TimeLine](#)⁶⁶
- jQuery: [How to make Timeline not conflict with jQuery](#)⁶⁷
- GWT: [gwtsimiletimeline](#)⁶⁸
- Prototype: [Timeline](#)⁶⁹

Test 3: i18n support

- Dojo: has a specific i18n module (*⁷⁰).
- jQuery: no built-in i18n support. Localization is available for the datepicker-widget
- Prototype: no built-in i18n support.
- ExtJS: localization support (*⁷¹, *⁷²).
- YUI: no built-in i18n support, there is however an internationalization plugin (*⁷³).
- MooTools: no built-in i18n support.
- qooxdoo: i18n and L10n are fully supported (*⁷⁴).
- GWT: internationalization support (*⁷⁵).

Test 4: Unit test

- qooxdoo: [testrunner](#)⁷⁶
- Prototype: there's a test framework that can be extended for custom unit tests (*⁷⁷).
- jQuery: internal test framework

54. <http://mygwt.net/>

55. <http://code.google.com/p/tatami/>

56. <http://gwt.components.googlepages.com/script.aculo.usintegration>

57. <http://qooxdoo.org/documentation/contrib/contributions/qwt/about>

58. <http://code.google.com/webtoolkit/documentation/com.google.gwt.doc.DeveloperGuide.JavaScriptNativeInterface.html>

- Dojo: has a unit testing harness, [D.O.H.](#)⁷⁸
- MooTools: no unit testing
- Ext JS: no unit testing (*⁷⁹, *⁸⁰)
- YUI: [YUI Test](#)⁸¹
- GWT: [JUnit integration](#)⁸²

Standalone js unit test tools:

- [Crosscheck](#)⁸³
- [Testcase](#)⁸⁴ (Prototype-based)
- [Selenium](#)⁸⁵
- [JUnit](#)⁸⁶

Test 5: Building custom widget

jQuery: [Writing your own plugins](#)⁸⁷

Dojo: [Creating new Dojo Widget](#)⁸⁸, [Dojo Custom Widget Tutorial](#)⁸⁹, [Create a Custom Javascript/AJAX Widget with Dojo](#)⁹⁰

ExtJS: [Writing Ext 2 Plugins](#)⁹¹

Dojo, MooTools, jQuery, prototype: [Creating an AJAX Rating Widget](#)⁹²

The first 4 losers

- MooTools:
 - extends many of js built-in objects
 - lack of namespace

Links

JavaScript Frameworks Compared

<http://www.zenperfect.com/2007/08/11/javascript-frameworks-compared/>

Top 5 javascript frameworks

<http://www.whenpenguinsattack.com/2007/04/24/top-5-javascript-frameworks/>

JavaScript Libraries By Comparison

<http://javascriptant.com/articles/24/javascript-libraries-by-comparison>

Javascript Toolkit Comparison

<http://www.ja-sig.org/wiki/display/UP3/Javascript+Toolkit+Comparison>

Why I'm moving from jQuery to ExtJs

<http://coderseye.com/2007/why-im-moving-from-jquery-to-extjs.html>

87. <http://jquery.bassistance.de/jquery-getting-started.html#plug>

88. <http://www.alexatnet.com/node/14>

89. http://www.coachwei.com/blog/_archives/2007/3/28/2841519.html

90. http://taubler.com/articles/article_Create_a_Custom_Javascript_AJAX_Widget_with_Dojo?id=5

91. http://extjs.com/learn/Tutorial:Writing_Ext_2_Plugins

92. <http://www.progressive-coding.com/tutorial.php?id=6>

2007 Ajax Tools Usage Survey Results

[http://www.surveymonkey.com/sr.aspx?
sm=fXLiKcnKID6cO5bRe961aBB6NCCWytRyY3rParAYmwa_3d](http://www.surveymonkey.com/sr.aspx?sm=fXLiKcnKID6cO5bRe961aBB6NCCWytRyY3rParAYmwa_3d)

A Mootools Tutorial

<http://clientside.cnet.com/wiki/mootorial>

Choosing a JavaScript library

<http://www.b-list.org/weblog/2007/jan/22/choosing-javascript-library/>

Prototype and jQuery: A code comparison

<http://ajaxian.com/archives/prototype-and-jquery-a-code-comparison>

Simplify Ajax development with jQuery

<http://www.ibm.com/developerworks/library/x-ajaxjquery.html>